



# Skel: A Streaming Process-based Skeleton Library for Erlang

Email: ashe@st-andrews.ac.uk

<sup>1</sup>School of Computer Science, University of St Andrews, Scotland, UK.

<sup>2</sup>Dept. Computer Science, University of Pisa, Pisa, Italy.

### IFL 2012 - Oxford





- Why we need Parallelism
- Skeletons are good Abstractions
- Skeletons in Erlang
- skel's good Speedups







- 1. The single-core processor is almost completely obsolete
- 2. Hardware systems are rapidly moving towards many- and mega-core

By 2019 there will be millions of cores in home desktop machines – Joe Armstrong

- 3. Software systems are still not ready:
  - Programming languages have not caught up
  - Software practices have not caught up
  - Programmers have not caught up
- 4. We need to make programming parallel systems easy



# **Race Conditions**





What happens when you use Pthreads





- Most Programmers are taught to program sequentially
- Modifying sequential code will not scale
- Typical concurrency techniques will not scale
- ► Fundamentally, current approaches are too low-level:
  - You can't program effectively while thinking about deadlocks, race conditions, synchronisation, non-determinism etc.
  - You can't program effectively directly with threads, message passing, mutexes or shared memory.
  - You can only program effectively with a different mindset





- We need to provide a set of abstractions for the programmer;
- They need to become second-nature;
- They need to make it easy to introduce parallelism;
- They need to make it easy to tune parallelism to gain maximum speedup;



Functional programming can provide the correct abstractions

However, languages take fundamentally different approaches

- Haskell (GpH) is too implicit:
  - ▶ par :: a -> b -> b
  - ▶ pseq :: a -> b -> b
- Erlang is too explicit:
  - spawn
  - ! and receive



### Parallel Pattern

A reusable way of parallelising a computation.

### Algorithmic Skeleton

### An implementation of a Parallel Pattern.





# skel:run(Skeleton, InputItems). % -> OutputItems

- Skeleton a skeleton
- InputItems items to be processed
- OutputItems items that have been processed



Seq







Pipe







Farm





Map





Reduce





Feedback







- Regular task cost: 1ms
- Task cost > communication cost
- Constant input number: 100,000
- 8 Cores: 2x Intel Xeon 4-Core X5355 2.66GHz
- Erlang R15B01



## Results: Pipe





## Results: Farm





## Results: Map





## Results: Reduce









- Adopting a Parallel Mindset
  - Functional Programming
  - Algorithmic Skeletons
- Erlang is a great fit.
  - Low-level enough for control
  - High-level enough to allow abstraction
- skel's speedups prove our implementation is good





- More Benchmarks
- Better Speedups
- Higher-order Skeletons
  - Divide and Conquer
  - MapReduce
  - Genetic Algorithms
  - ▶ ...
  - Domain-specific Algorithms





# THANK YOU

http://www.paraphrase-ict.eu

*@paraphrase\_fp7* 

